

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 IN RE: JEROME JULIUS BROWN, SR.,

CASE NO. MC19-0161-RSM

10 Respondent.

ORDER RE: PROPOSED COMPLAINT

11
12 This matter comes before the Court on a proposed complaint filed by Respondent,
13 accompanied by an application to proceed in forma pauperis (“IFP”) and a civil cover sheet.
14 Dkt. #25.

15 Respondent is currently under a Vexatious Litigant Bar Order entered on December 11,
16 2019. Dkt. #1. Pursuant to the Bar Order, all of Plaintiff’s pro se complaints in this district are
17 filed under this miscellaneous case number. The Bar Order states as follows:

18
19 All of Plaintiff’s prior actions that have been reviewed by the Court have resulted
20 in dismissals for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and for
21 frivolousness. . . . In each action, Plaintiff filed a complaint that fell well short of
22 meeting basic notice pleading requirements under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
23 8, and otherwise violated 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

24 *Id.* at 5. The Bar Order provides that “the Court may dismiss any future pro se complaint upon
25 a finding that the complaint suffers from the same effects outlined above without issuing an order
26 to show cause.” *Id.* at 6. For the reasons set forth below, the Court finds that Respondent’s latest
filings fall well short of meeting basic notice pleading requirements under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8 and

1 are properly dismissed for failure to state a claim and/or for frivolousness under 28 U.S.C. §
2 1915(e)(2)(B).

3 Respondent brings a complaint for conversion of property against the Attorney General
4 of Maryland, Brian E. Frosh; State of Maryland Judge, Patricia Mitchell; Maryland Chief of
5 Police, Marcus Jones; and President of Southern Maryland Hospital, Christine Wray. Dkt. #25-1.
6 Although Respondent submitted the filing, the named plaintiff in this action is “Mrs. Constance
7 Brown-Gibson Wife.” *Id.* at 1. The proposed complaint is difficult to decipher and states “no
8 jurisdiction Montgomery County Administration and Justice, Policy Dept. Sheriffs Dept. District
9 Court, Circuit Courts, Procedural Default, in name Jerome Brown” under basis for Jurisdiction.
10 *Id.* at 3. The statement of claim provides a description of a living unit, *id.* at 5, and attaches real
11 property data search reports. *Id.* at 10-15, Dkts. #26, #27. It does not appear to allege any viable
12 claims or basis for jurisdiction and venue in this court.
13

14 Respondent’s latest filings suffer from the same defects as his prior actions as outlined in
15 his Bar Order. *See* Dkt. #1 at 5-6. The Court therefore denies his application to proceed IFP and
16 dismisses his complaint, Dkt. #25. The Clerk shall provide copies of this order to Respondent.
17
18

19 Dated this 12th day of July, 2021.
20
21

22 

23 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
24 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25
26